

‘Wild Treasure’ Thornless Trailing Blackberry

Chad E. Finn¹

U.S. Department of Agriculture–Agricultural Research Service, Horticultural Crops Research Laboratory, 3420 NW Orchard Avenue, Corvallis, OR 97330

Bernadine C. Strik

Department of Horticulture, Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR 97331

Brian Yorgey and Michael Qian

Department of Food Science, Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR 97331

Robert R. Martin

U.S. Department of Agriculture–Agricultural Research Service, Horticultural Crops Research Laboratory, Northwest Center for Small Fruit Research, Corvallis, OR 97330

Mary Peterson

U.S. Department of Agriculture–Agricultural Research Service, Horticultural Crops Research Laboratory, Northwest Center for Small Fruit Research, Corvallis, OR 97330

Additional index words. *Rubus ursinus*, germplasm, processing, small fruit, fruit breeding

‘Wild Treasure’ is a new trailing blackberry (*Rubus* subg. *Rubus* Watson) cultivar from the U.S. Department of Agriculture–Agricultural Research Service (USDA-ARS) breeding program in Corvallis, OR, released in cooperation with Oregon State University’s Agricultural Experiment Station. ‘Wild Treasure’ is thornless and has high-quality fruit that are very small and suitable for mechanical harvest (Figs. 1–3). The fruit is of particular value for market niches where small fruit size is perceived as superior. Such markets include bakery products that use whole berries and in frozen polybag fruit

blends in which large-fruited blackberries are out of proportion to the other components of the mix. ‘Wild Treasure’ is named to recognize its pedigree because it was selected from a cross between a thornless cultivar and a selection of the western dewberry, *Rubus ursinus* Cham. et Schl.

Origin

In 1993 and 1994, an extensive collection of *Rubus ursinus* germplasm was made throughout Oregon and Washington. The Washington portion of this trip was organized by James Luby (Univ. of Minnesota, St. Paul, MN) and Richard Harrison (Driscoll Strawberry Associates, Watsonville, CA). Numerous seedling and clonal populations were planted in a common garden in Corvallis for evaluation and a number of selections were made from these populations (Finn, 2001). *Rubus ursinus* offers several traits of particular interest to breeders, including outstanding flavor and fruit quality, very early ripening, flexible canes, and good vigor. Many of the characteristics that have made ‘Marion’ blackberry the commercial standard for fruit processing, including aromatic flavor and less noticeable seeds, can be traced back to *R. ursinus* in its pedigree (Yorgey and Finn, 2005). However, *R. ursinus* in a monoculture in the Willamette Valley (Oregon) is very susceptible to foliar and cane diseases, particularly anthracnose [*Elsinoe veneta* (Burkholder) Jenk.] and septoria (*Septoria rubi* Westend). This and its dioecious nature make it difficult to commercialize this species.

‘Wild Treasure’, tested as ORUS 1843-3, was selected in Corvallis, OR, in 1998 from

a cross made in 1996 of GP 9-24 and ‘Waldo’. GP 9-24 is a selection made from a seedling population of *R. ursinus* that was collected as fruit from Mount Hebo (Oregon) in the Siuslaw National Forest at an elevation of 961 m. GP 9-24 was originally selected for its larger fruit size relative to other *R. ursinus* genotypes, low foliar disease incidence, and high fruit number per lateral. ‘Waldo’ is a very high-quality thornless blackberry that has excellent foliar disease resistance, medium-large fruit, high yield, and short internodes that result in a somewhat dwarfed plant with brittle canes (Lawrence, 1989). Selections from this cross had the superior fruit quality and vigorous growth of *R. ursinus* combined with the good disease tolerance and thornlessness of ‘Waldo’.

Description and Performance

‘Wild Treasure’ has been most extensively evaluated in trials at Oregon State University’s North Willamette Research and Extension Center (Aurora, OR; OSU-NWREC), the USDA-ARS in Corvallis, OR as well as at a commercial grower site, Enfield Farms Inc. (Lynden, WA). In each of the Oregon trial plantings, standard cultural practices for trailing blackberry production were used, including annual pre- and postemergent herbicide applications, spring nitrogen fertilization (78 kg N/ha), postharvest removal of floricanes, training of primocanes to a two-wire trellis, and weekly overhead application of 2.5 to 5.0 cm of irrigation, depending on rainfall. Dormant applications of liquid lime sulfur and copper hydroxide were made to control leaf and cane spot (*Septoria rubi*), purple blotch [*Septocytia ruborum* (Lib) Petr.], rust [*Kuehneola uredinis* (Link) Arth.], and anthracnose. They also received a single bloom time application of captan at labeled rates to control anthracnose, botrytis (*Botrytis cinerea* Pers.:Fr.), cane spot, purple blotch, and stamen blight [*Haplospheeria deformans* (Syd.) Syd.]. The cooperating grower in Washington is primarily a red raspberry (*Rubus idaeus* L.) grower. Although the plants were spaced and trained similarly to the Oregon trials, they were irrigated and fertilized with nitrogen at rates standard for red raspberry but greater than typical for blackberry. At OSU-NWREC, ‘Wild Treasure’ was planted in 2001, along with standard cultivars, in a randomized complete block design with four three-plant replications used for fresh fruit evaluation and three replications harvested once a week to determine harvest season, yield, and fruit weight (mean of randomly selected 25-berry subsamples per harvest). A mean weighted fruit weight was calculated. These data, collected in 2003–2005, were analyzed as a split plot in time with cultivar as the main plot and year as the subplot. Of the 20 genotypes harvested from the replicated trial, only the data for ‘Marion’, ‘Siskiyou’, ‘Waldo’, and ‘Wild Treasure’ were included in the analysis. The cultivar × year interaction was significant for yield and for fruit weight.

Received for publication 19 Nov. 2009. Accepted for publication 4 Jan. 2010.

This research was partially funded by the Oregon Raspberry and Blackberry Commission and from a grant through the USDA-ARS Northwest Center for Small Fruit Research.

We gratefully acknowledge the assistance of Connie Pace and Gil Buller in the evaluation of ‘Wild Treasure’; Enfield Farms (Lynden, WA), particularly Julie Enfield and Derek Peacock (currently with Hurst’s Berry Farm) for their evaluation of ‘Wild Treasure’ in a commercial setting; and Nola Mosier for efforts to free the nuclear material of known viruses. We thank the U.S. Department of Agriculture–Forest Service for issuing the Temporary Special-Use Permit for the collection of plant material in the Oregon and Washington National Forests.

Mention of trade names or commercial products in this manuscript is solely for the purpose of providing specific information and does not imply recommendation or endorsement by the U.S. Department of Agriculture.

¹To whom reprint requests should be addressed; e-mail Chad.Finn@ARS.USDA.GOV.

Therefore, the interaction means for yield are presented and compared using least significant difference multiple range test (Table 1). The fruit ripening season in Oregon was characterized by the dates on which 5%, 50%, and 95% of the total fruit were harvested (data not shown). Subjective fruit evaluations were made during the fruiting season using a 1 to 9 scale (9 = the best expression of each trait). These subjective evaluations were done on cultivars in the replicated trial as well as important cultivars that were not in the trial (e.g., 'Black Diamond', 'Chester Thornless', and 'Obsidian'). The fruit ratings included firmness (as measured subjectively by hand in the field on six to eight fruit), color (deep full black is ideal), shape (with a uniform, long conic ideal), texture (as measured subjectively when chewed while tasting fruit in the field), separation (subjective rating of how easily the ripe fruit were separated from the plant), and flavor (subjectively rated by tasting fruit in the field) (Table 2). Plant ratings were conducted one time each year from 2001 to 2004 during the fruiting season for primocane and florican vigor, spines (9 = spineless, 1 = large numerous thorns), and flowering or fruiting lateral length (1 = very short, 5 = very long) and strength (1 = weak, droopy; 5 = stiff, sturdy). In 2002, 'Wild Treasure' was planted with a number of genotypes in plots at Enfield Farms (Lynden, WA) along the Canada-U.S. border to assess

cold-hardiness and suitability for machine harvest. While observations were made on these plants from 2003 to 2005, the winters were relatively mild (minimum temperature -6.0 °C in Nov. 2003). In 2003 and 2004, fruit were harvested from five-plant plots by an over-the-row Littau (Stayton, OR) harvester with a horizontal (Christy) head. In separate studies, the chemical and sensory characteristics of commercial blackberry cultivars and advanced selections, including 'Wild Treasure', were evaluated (Siriwoharn et al., 2004; Yorgey and Finn, 2005).

'Wild Treasure' produced yields lower than 'Waldo' and 'Marion' in all harvest seasons and in two of three harvest seasons when compared with 'Siskiyou' (Table 1). The low yield was attributable in large part to factors that growers can control when planting 'Wild Treasure' in solid blocks. 'Wild Treasure' grows more vigorously, on smaller-diameter canes, with primocanes entering a rapid elongation stage earlier in the season than 'Marion' or 'Black Diamond'. As a result of this growth habit, this cultivar is prone to substantial cane breakage if it is trained in the late summer as growers would do for other commercial cultivars. Cane breakage typically occurs when the primocanes are lifted onto the trellis system during training, leading to lost fruiting canes, greater winter injury, and reduced yields. 'Wild Treasure' should either be trained gently very soon after harvest in late July or it should be "trained as

it grows" in an alternate year production system. Yield was comparable to that of 'Marion' in the first harvest season when picked by machine at Enfield Farms (data not shown). 'Wild Treasure' was not significantly different from 'Marion' or 'Waldo' for the ease of separation of the fruit from the plant. This observation agrees with the experience at Enfield Farms where 'Wild Treasure' was machine-harvestable.

'Wild Treasure' plants are thornless and as vigorous as 'Marion' (data not shown). Genotypes whose spinelessness is derived from 'Austin Thornless' often have spines on the new canes until they are ≈0.5 m long and this is true for 'Wild Treasure'. As a result, even if there are a few thorns at the base of the canes, the plants are considered "thornless" from a commercial standpoint. Canes of 'Wild Treasure' have fruiting laterals that are short, like 'Black Diamond', and similar in strength to those of 'Marion' and 'Black Diamond'. The canes are as resistant to cane and leaf spot as are 'Marion' canes. No serious winter injury has been noted on this genotype since it was selected, even in northern Washington. However, the winters were relatively mild during the evaluation period.

The harvest season for 'Wild Treasure' begins and ends slightly before 'Marion' but peaks at the same time (data not shown).

'Wild Treasure' has consistently produced very small fruit with excellent flavor. Fruit averaged 2.1 g, less than 50% the size of 'Marion' and 'Waldo' and 29% the size of 'Siskiyou' (Table 1). The fruit were of consistent size from the beginning to the end of harvest with a more uniform shape and less drupelet sterility than 'Marion' and 'Siskiyou'. 'Wild Treasure' has scored higher than 'Marion' and 'Waldo' and similar to 'Siskiyou' for fruit firmness (Table 2). The fruit firmness appears to be similar to that of several other named cultivars, although not quite as firm as 'Black Diamond', 'Chester Thornless', 'Metolius', and 'Obsidian'. Fruit color for 'Wild Treasure' was rated similar to 'Siskiyou' and 'Waldo' but better than 'Marion', 'Nightfall', and 'Silvan' (Table 2). 'Wild Treasure' was consistently noted for its uniform shape, similar to its parent 'Waldo' and much better than 'Marion' (Table 2). 'Black Diamond' and 'Metolius' have similarly shaped fruit. Two important traits for trailing blackberry are excellent flavor and a texture that is perceived as being less seedy than other blackberries. 'Wild Treasure' is similar to 'Marion' and 'Waldo' in fruit texture and in unreplicated trials was rated similar in texture to 'Black Diamond', 'Metolius', and 'Black Pearl' and much better than 'Chester Thornless'. Although 'Marion' and 'Siskiyou' had the highest flavor scores, 'Wild Treasure' flavor was very good and comparable to 'Siskiyou', 'Black Pearl', 'Metolius', 'Obsidian', and 'Silvan', whereas 'Chester Thornless' was scored lower.

A panel of industry representatives, in a blind evaluation, ranked 'Wild Treasure' (evaluated as ORUS 1843-3) puree numerically

Table 1. Fruit weight and yield for blackberry cultivars planted in 2000 in replicated planting (three replications) at Oregon State University's North Willamette Research and Extension Center (Aurora, OR).

Cultivar	Fruit wt (g) ^a				Yield (kg·ha ⁻¹)			
	2002	2003	2004	2002–2004	2002	2003	2004	2002–2004
2002				5.2 a				13,537 a
2003				4.9 b				12,378 b
2004				5.2 a				10,318 c
Waldo	5.2 b	5.8 b	5.0 b	5.3 b	18,574 a	14,080 ab	15,613 a	16,089 a
Marion	5.5 b	4.6 c	5.2 b	5.1 b	13,128 b	16,762 a	14,108 a	14,666 a
Siskiyou	8.0 a	6.7 a	7.2 a	7.3 a	11,107 b	9,645 b	7,034 b	9,262 b
Wild Treasure	2.4 c	1.9 d	2.1 c	2.1 c	6,079 c	3,288 c	7,357 b	5,575 c

^aMeans within a column for year and for cultivar followed by the same letter are not significantly different, $P > 0.05$, by least significant difference test.

Table 2. Subjectively evaluated fruit quality traits for four blackberry cultivars in the same replicated trial and for seven other cultivars being evaluated in unreplicated plots in the same years (2001–2003) at Oregon State University's North Willamette Research and Extension Center (Aurora, OR).^a

Cultivar	Firm ^b	Color	Shape	Texture	Flavor
Replicated					
Marion	5.3 c	7.9 b	6.6 c	9.0 a	8.6 a
Siskiyou	7.6 a	8.7 a	8.4 b	8.5 b	8.3 ab
Waldo	6.9 b	8.5 a	8.7 ab	8.9 a	6.5 c
Wild Treasure	7.4 a	8.8 a	9.0 a	8.9 a	7.8 b
Nonreplicated					
Black Diamond	8.0	8.7	9.1	8.7	6.8
Black Pearl	6.8	8.3	6.7	8.7	7.9
Chester Thornless	7.8	8.5	6.3	4.8	5.8
Metolius	8.2	8.7	8.9	8.7	7.7
Nightfall	7.0	7.8	8.7	8.0	6.9
Obsidian	7.8	8.8	7.0	7.6	7.8
Silvan	3.9	6.7	7.2	8.1	8.1

^aSubjective fruit evaluations were made using a 1 to 9 scale (9 = the best expression of each trait). For color, a deep full black is ideal and for shape, a uniform, long conic is ideal.

^bMeans within a column followed by the same letter are not significantly different $P > 0.05$, by least significant difference.



Fig. 1. Flowering plant of 'Wild Treasure'.



Fig. 2. Ripe fruit on plants of 'Wild Treasure'.



Fig. 3. Harvested flat of 'Wild Treasure' fruit with a single hallock of 'Marion' for comparison.

higher but not significantly different from 'Marion' for aroma, flavor, color, and overall quality (Yorgey and Finn, 2005). A similar evaluation as an individually quick frozen (IQF) product, 'Wild Treasure' was generally ranked poorer than 'Marion', which we attribute to a negative bias by the panelists against very small fruit. When frozen and thawed as an IQF fruit, 'Wild Treasure' is intermediate as far as turning purple after freezing and thawing between 'Obsidian', which tends to stay black, and 'Marion', which tends to turn purple. In a blind evaluation by a trained consumer panel, flavor was compared with "fresh fruits," "citrus," "strawberry," and "raspberry" (Kurnianta, 2005). In an evaluation of fruit chemistry, including anthocyanins, polyphenolics, and antioxidant properties, 'Wild Treasure' (evaluated as ORUS 1843-3) had a lower percent soluble solids, higher titratable acidity, lower total phenolics, similar total anthocyanins, and slightly lower antioxidant potential as measured by oxygen radical absorbance capacity and ferric reducing antioxidant power than 'Marion' (Siriwoham et al., 2004). 'Wild

Treasure' had much higher procyanidin and ellagic acid but comparable ellagitannin and flavonol levels to 'Marion' (Siriwoham et al., 2004).

'Wild Treasure' is not likely to be well suited to fresh market because it is not sufficiently firm for handling and shipping significant distances. Its small fruit size may preclude economical hand-harvesting, although when sold at a premium in farmers' markets, it may be profitable.

'Wild Treasure' is introduced as a very high-quality, very small-fruited blackberry that can be mechanically harvested for the processing market. 'Wild Treasure' has generated a great deal of commercial interest for specialty small fruit applications and is recommended for areas where trailing blackberries can be successfully grown.

'Wild Treasure' nuclear stock has tested negative for *Tomato ringspot virus*, *Raspberry bushy dwarf virus*, and *Tobacco streak virus* by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay and has indexed negative on grafting to *R. occidentalis*.

'Wild Treasure' is not patented. However, when this germplasm contributes to the development of a new cultivar or germplasm, it is requested that appropriate recognition be given to the source. Further information or a list of nurseries propagating 'Wild Treasure' is available on written request to Chad Finn, USDA-ARS, Northwest Center for Small Fruit Research, Horticultural Crops Research Laboratory, 3420 NW Orchard Avenue, Corvallis, OR 97330. The USDA-ARS does not sell plants. In addition, genetic material of this release has been deposited in the National Plant Germplasm System as PI 638265 (CRUB 2237), where it will be available for research purposes, including development and commercialization of new cultivars.

Literature Cited

- Finn, C. 2001. Trailing blackberries: From clearcuts to your table. *HortScience* 36:236-238.
- Kurnianta, A.J. 2005. Descriptive sensory analysis of thornless blackberry selections to determine sensory similarity to 'Marion' blackberry flavor. MS thesis, Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR.
- Lawrence, F.J. 1989. Naming and release of blackberry cultivar 'Waldo'. U.S. Dept. Agr., Oregon Agr. Expt. Sta. Release Notice.
- Siriwoham, T., R.E. Wrolstad, C.E. Finn, and C.B. Pereira. 2004. Influence of cultivar, maturity and sampling on blackberry (*Rubus L. hybrids*) anthocyanins, polyphenolics, and antioxidant properties. *J. Agr. Food Chem.* 52:8021-8030.
- Yorgey, B. and C.E. Finn. 2005. Comparison of 'Marion' to thornless blackberry genotypes as individually quick frozen and puree products. *HortScience* 40:513-515.